

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/934,962

Applicant(s)

KRISHNAMACHARI,
SANTHANA

Examiner

Sherali Ishrat

Art Unit

2624

All Participants:

(1) Sherali Ishrat.

(2) Yan Glickberg.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 7 April 2006

Time: 1:00 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

na

Claims discussed:

Independent claims 1, 6, 11 and 16.

Prior art documents discussed:

Sato (US 6,181,818).

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SRE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Applicant's Attorney that independent claims 1 and 11 are allowable over prior art of record as indicated in the previous office action because claims 1 and 11 recite distinct limitation "creating a characterization of the image that includes a plurality of measures that are proportional to the frequency of occurrence of plurality of colors". However independent claims 6 and 16 do not recite such limitations and therefore these claims can be still rejected using Sato. Board of Appeals had affirmed (Paper 9/23/04) Examiner Mr. Jingge Wu with respect to claim 6 and 16. Sato includes plurality of colors because it is determining histogram of the color image. Further on February 23, 2005 Applicant's representative Mr. Robert M. McDermott agreed with Examiner Jingge Wu to cancel claims 6-10 and 16-20. Applicant's Attorney agreed to cancel claims 6-10 and 16-20 to place the application in condition for allowance.